

UNITED SOMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	MIENER	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	IVI.	EATTOBNEY DOCKET NO.
CHRISTOPHER J	RECKAMP	LM71	./0806 ¬	MEISLA	EXAMINER
P O BOX 677 NORTH BROOK IL	60065			ART,UNI	PAPER NUMBER
				DATE MAILE	08/06/99 V D :

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/949,525

Applicant(s)

Michael J. Wiener And Josanne M. Otway

Examiner

Douglas Meislahn

Group Art Unit 2767



a)			.001	OR RESPONS	SE: [check only a	a) or b)]			
is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than at weighted the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for responses ere set forth in blow extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for responses ere set forth in blow. Applicant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on		a) 🗶	expir	es <u>3</u>	months from the m	nailing date of the final rej	ection.		
date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee purposes of textension fee purposes of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee purposes of the date of the Notice of Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appellant's response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on		b) 📋	is late	er. In no event	months from the ma , however, will the	ailing date of the final reje statutory period for the re	ction, or on the sponse expire l	mailing date of this Ad ater than six months fro	visory Action, whichever m the date of the final
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on		date on determ	n which ining t	n the response, he period of ex	the petition, and the tension and the corr	ne fee have been filed is the responding amount of the	ne date of the re fee. Any exten	sponse and also the da sion fee pursuant to 37	te for the purposes of
but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendment(s): will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. will not be entered because: they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claims 1, 14, and 21 require that the digital signature key pairs are not shared among users while claim 9 requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26		Appell period	lant's I for re	Brief is due t esponse set f	wo months from orth above, whic	the date of the Notice thever is later). See 3	e of Appeal fil 7 CFR 1.191(ed on d) and 37 CFR 1.19	(or within any 2(a).
 □ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. ☑ will not be entered because: ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). □ they arise the issue of new matter. (See note below). □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. □ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claims 1, 14, and 21 require that the digital signature key pairs are not shared among users while claim 9 requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. □ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. □ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:								n considered with th	e following effect,
 ⋈ will not be entered because: ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claims 1, 14, and 21 require that the digital signature key pairs are not shared among users while claim 9 requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☐ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:	X	The pr	ropose	ed amendme	nt(s):				
 ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claims 1, 14, and 21 require that the digital signature key pairs are not shared among users while claim 9 requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:		□ wi	ill be e	entered upon	filing of a Notice	of Appeal and an App	peal Brief.		
 they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claims 1, 14, and 21 require that the digital signature key pairs are not shared among users while claim 9 requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. △ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): ✓ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: _1-26 		X wi	ill not	be entered b	ecause:				
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claims 1, 14, and 21 require that the digital signature key pairs are not shared among users while claim 9 requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26		X	they	raise new is:	sues that would r	require further conside	ration and/or	search. (See note b	elow).
issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claims 1, 14, and 21 require that the digital signature key pairs are not shared among users while claim 9 requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26			they	raise the iss	ue of new matter	. (See note below).	•		
NOTE: Claims 1, 14, and 21 require that the digital signature key pairs are not shared among users while claim 9 requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26					ned to place the a	application in better fo	orm for appeal	by materially reduc	ing or simplifying the
requires that a new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous key pair. Both of these features are new to the claims. Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26			they	present addi	tional claims with	nout cancelling a corre	sponding nun	nber of finally rejecte	ed claims.
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims		NO	TE:	Claims 1, 14	, and 21 require	that the digital signatu	ire key pairs a	are not shared amon	g users while claim 9
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ▼ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:						n key pair is not comp	outable from a	previous key pair.	Both of these features
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26		□ Ar	pplica	nt's response	has overcome ti	he following rejection(s):		
for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26							-		
the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:		Newly separ	y prop ate, ti	oosed or ame imely filed ar	nded claims nendment cancell	ling the non-allowable	claims.	would be allowal	ble if submitted in a
Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26		separ	ate, ti affidav	imely filed ar rit, exhibit or	nendment cancell	ling the non-allowable	claims.		
Claims rejected to: Claims rejected: 1-26		The a for all	affidav lowan	mely filed are rit, exhibit or ce because:	request for recor	ling the non-allowable	claims. onsidered but	does NOT place the	application in condition
Claims rejected to: Claims rejected: 1-26		The a for all	affidav lowan affidav affidav xamin	mely filed are rit, exhibit or ce because:	request for recor	ling the non-allowable nsideration has been continued because it is no	claims. onsidered but	does NOT place the	e application in condition
Claims rejected: 1-26		The a for all	ate, ti affidav lowan affidav xamin urpose	imely filed are rit, exhibit or ce because: rit or exhibit er in the finates of Appeal,	request for recor	ling the non-allowable nsideration has been considered because it is not e claims is as follows (claims. onsidered but ot directed SO	does NOT place the	th were newly raised by
The proposed drawing correction filed on That That not been approved by the Evaminer		The a for all The a the Export of the control of t	ate, ti	mely filed and the control of the co	request for recorvill NOT be considered the status of the	ling the non-allowable nsideration has been considered because it is not e claims is as follows (claims. onsidered but of directed SO	does NOT place the	ch were newly raised by if any):
The proposed drawing correction filed on bits bett been approved by the Examiner.		The a for all The a the Export Claim Claim	affidav affidav affidav xamin urpose as allo	imely filed and it, exhibit or ce because: Interior exhibit er in the final es of Appeal, wed: Exected to:	request for recorvill NOT be considered to the status of the	ling the non-allowable nsideration has been considered because it is not be claims is as follows (claims. onsidered but of directed SO	does NOT place the	ch were newly raised by if any):
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)		The a for all The a the Ex	ate, ti affidav lowan affidav xamin urpose as allo	imely filed and it, exhibit or ce because: out or exhibit er in the final es of Appeal, wed: ected to: cted: 1-26	request for recorvill NOT be considered the status of the	ling the non-allowable nsideration has been considered because it is not e claims is as follows (claims. onsidered but of directed SO	does NOT place the	th were newly raised by if any):
□ Other TOD R. SWANN EXAMINER		The a for all The a the Extended Claim Claim The p	ate, ti affidav lowan affidav xamin urpose as allo as obje s reje propos	rit, exhibit or ce because: rit or exhibit er in the finales of Appeal, wed: ected to: cted: 1-26 ried drawing of	request for recorvill NOT be considered to the status of the correction filed on	ling the non-allowable nsideration has been considered because it is not e claims is as follows (claims. onsidered but of directed SO see attached	LELY to issues which written explanation, that not been approximately	th were newly raised by if any):
JUSORY PLANTE 2700		The a for all The a the Extended Claim Claim The position Note	ate, ti affidav lowan affidav xamin urpose as allo as obje s reje propos the at	rit, exhibit or ce because: rit or exhibit er in the finales of Appeal, wed: ected to: cted: 1-26 ried drawing of	request for recorvill NOT be considered to the status of the correction filed on	ling the non-allowable nsideration has been considered because it is not e claims is as follows (claims. onsidered but of directed SO see attached	LELY to issues which written explanation, that not been approximately	th were newly raised by if any):
S. Parant and Trademark Office		The a for all The a the Extended Claim Claim The position Note	ate, ti affidav lowan affidav xamin urpose as allo as obje s reje propos the at	rit, exhibit or ce because: rit or exhibit er in the finales of Appeal, wed: ected to: cted: 1-26 ried drawing of	request for recorvill NOT be considered to the status of the correction filed on	ling the non-allowable nsideration has been considered because it is not e claims is as follows (claims. onsidered but of directed SO see attached	LELY to issues which written explanation, that not been approximately	th were newly raised by if any):